

# Interview Summary

Application No.

10/071,888

Applicant(s)

MOREHEAD, JOHN H.

Examiner

Phong H Nguyen

Art Unit

3724

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phong H Nguyen.

(3) Eric Snustad.

(2) Allan Shoap.

(4) Glen Shuldes.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: A showing of how assembled.

Claim(s) discussed: General discussion of two independent claims

Identification of prior art discussed: cited by ext and that in IOS file 8/30/03

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.  
but see below

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

*The difference between the invention and prior art was discussed. It was suggested that the spring and ball being located in the insert be claimed along with the first and second holes intersecting. The independent claim should not read where it appears the insert is received through the front surface. Since the allowable part of the method may be the structure of the tool, rejoinder may be permitted with the apparatus claims defining the same structure.*

*all*  
Allan N. Shoap *as*  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Phong H Nguyen*  
Examiner's signature, if required